


UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL
on
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

IN RE: INCRETIN-BASED THERAPIES
PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION



MDL No. 2452

(SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE)


CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO -13)

On August 26, 2013, the Panel transferred 8 civil action(s) to the United States District Court for the Southern District of California for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1407. *See* 968 F.Supp.2d 1345 (J.P.M.L. 2013). Since that time, 16 additional action(s) have been transferred to the Southern District of California. With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable Anthony J. Battaglia.

It appears that the action(s) on this conditional transfer order involve questions of fact that are common to the actions previously transferred to the Southern District of California and assigned to Judge Battaglia.

Pursuant to Rule 7.1 of the Rules of Procedure of the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, the action(s) on the attached schedule are transferred under 28 U.S.C. §1407 to the Southern District of California for the reasons stated in the order of August 26, 2013, and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable Anthony J. Battaglia.

This order does not become effective until it is filed in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Southern District of California. The transmittal of this order to said Clerk shall be stayed 7 days from the entry thereof. If any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this 7-day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.



FOR THE PANEL:

Jeffery N. Lüthi
Clerk of the Panel

I hereby attest and certify on 07/01/2014
That the foregoing document is a full, true and correct
copy of the original on file in my office and in my legal
custody.

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

By Deputy

**IN RE: INCRETIN-BASED THERAPIES
PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION**

MDL No. 2452

SCHEDULE CTO-13 – TAG-ALONG ACTIONS

DIST DIV. C.A.NO. CASE CAPTION

ALABAMA MIDDLE

~~ALM~~ ~~2~~ ~~14-00599~~ ~~Golomb v. Merck & Co., Inc. et al~~ Opposed 6/30/14

ILLINOIS NORTHERN

ILN 1 14-04354 Deronceray et al v. Merck Sharp &Dohme Corp. et al

TEXAS SOUTHERN

TXS 4 14-01568 Lott v Merck Sharp et al
TXS 4 14-01569 Delis v. Merck Sharp &Dohme Corp. et al